

Daily Courier-Journal.
One year, \$1.00
Two years, \$1.80
Three years, \$2.50
To any place in the country, \$2.00 per year when paid at the
order, or \$2.50 per year when paid at the
order. To New Orleans at three cents per copy.

Sunday Courier-Journal.
Dedicated to the South and Southern, and issued
every Sunday morning.
One year, \$1.00
Two years, \$1.80
The Daily Courier-Journal, including Sunday
Edition, will be delivered to our
city subscribers at \$2.00 per year.

Weekly Courier-Journal.
Single copy, one cent.
Five to twenty copies, one year, each
Twenty to one hundred, one year, each.

An extra copy is allowed the club agent for every additional ten subscribers; and for every
extra copy for any additional ten subscribers;
and so on to one hundred additional twenty
subscribers. After one hundred, no extra
charge is made, the same time may be made at
the same rate.

Payments Always to be Made in Advance.

Money is sent by mail to post offices or
agents drafts or checks or by express. We wish it
to be understood that we do not accept money
for money lost in transmission to us.

Specimen copies sent gratis on application.

All letters should be addressed to
COURIER-JOURNAL COMPANY,
119 and 120 West Jefferson Street,
Louisville, Ky.

Proceedings of lodges or meetings on the
details of individuals, or pertaining to master or
assistant pastors, and obituaries. No names
should be used for such notices. No obituary
will be paid such communiques accompanied
by the money.

Notice to Subscribers.
In making remittances for subscriptions or
advertising, always, when practicable, send
drafts or checks or post office orders. When
these cannot be procured, send the money,
but always in a registered letter. We wish it
distinctly understood we will not be responsible
for money lost in its transmission to us.
No remittance will be paid such communiques
accompanied by the money.

Our Weekly for 1872.
As the first of the new year is generally
regarded as a propitious season to renew
old subscriptions and to get up new clubs, we
ask the special attention of our readers and friends to the prospectus of the
COURIER-JOURNAL, which will be found
in another column. During the coming
year we intend to make this a better
and more acceptable paper than it has ever
been, and we ask the aid of our friends in extending and increasing its circulation.
Our list of prizes to club agents will be
most attractive and valuable, and worthy of attention and effort. As special
premiums we intend to give a splendid
Chambers piano worth \$750, a gold watch
worth \$350 and a sewing machine worth
\$125 to the persons sending us the three
largest lists of subscribers from this time
until July 1, 1873.

In answer to several inquiries we have
seen that the COURIER-JOURNAL is an
aptly named paper without the gift of the
Legislature nor interested in any of the
matters of state. It is a paper of its own.
All we ask of the Legislature is an appro-
priate and short, that is, a session.

We are more than content with the
patronage of the people at large, who pay us
liberally for doing our duty and don't
quarrel with us when we take out in
writing.

The New York Tribune has been assured
by the directors of the Western Union Telegraph
Company that they will not sell their
property, and protest against any inter-
ference therewith by the Government.

The receipts of the State are eight
million dollars, of which the annual
excess is clear profit. Contrast this with the
fact that the Government suffers an annual
loss of five millions in its business of thirty
millions in postage, and the exhibit is not
very favorable in even a financial point,
in its assumption of the telegraphic system.

Professor ASAHI, who recently sailed
from Boston in the Hassler for the purpose
of making examinations of deep-sea bot-
toms, wrote before starting a very
interesting letter to his friend Prof. FREDERICK
WILLIAMS, in which he spoke of the
kinds of animals, hitherto unknown, which
he found in the sea to be explored, and
the nature of geological developments in
regions as yet unvisited. That is, he
believed, the scientific value of his
expedition before examination of the
actual nature of the discoveries he will make.
His return will be singularly expected by
scientists, and it will be curious if his
prophetic views prove correct.

Gov. DAVIS of Texas has a fair chance
of coming to a deserved degree of grief.
He is now before the House Committee of
Education and the evidence is to the effect
that, apparently, he gave the entire of his
votes, but whom the Governor did not
lawfully elect; and in another, that
gave the required papers to the man
whom he got, he legally chose.

This taking of the law into his own hands
is an old story with thisian Governor, as
witness his declaring martial law in Lime-
house, against the special provisions of
the State Constitution, and with
hardly an avowal of right or reason.
His act will be severely censured by
the world at large.

He has painted
his colors, it seems, and the recorders, he will cer-
tainly be a better man in the world's eyes,
at least, than he was the day he was struck down.

The Washington correspondent of the
New York Herald seems to have about
him a good deal of that which is some-
times alluded to as "pure cussedness."

This is what he writes about poor
Seward and the late Mr. CHASE.

The facts about the Chase, Mr. Seward
has been divulged in a way that is far from com-
petent, and the author of the article
on Senator CHASE, in the New York Times,
is a man of considerable experience in
the English journals, a director of the
London Stock Exchange, and a man
of great talents.

Mr. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

The Washington correspondent of the
New York Herald seems to have about
him a good deal of that which is some-
times alluded to as "pure cussedness."

This is what he writes about poor
Seward and the late Mr. CHASE.

The facts about the Chase, Mr. Seward
has been divulged in a way that is far from com-
petent, and the author of the article
on Senator CHASE, in the New York Times,
is a man of considerable experience in
the English journals, a director of the
London Stock Exchange, and a man
of great talents.

Mr. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.
CONKLIN in many of the services of
the Administration, and it is recorded that
the speeches of these two worthies
brought out what is known to be
the most eloquent and high-toned talk
in this connection. We regret to see that
the effect of Judge NELSON's of the Supreme
Court, is likely to either die or resign
and that the disinterred Mr. EDMONDSON
toward a tribunal a yearning which no
one in the great and good Pres. GRANT
has the power or inclination to sat-
isfy.

Mr. C. SANTLER has written, and Mr.
CHARLES FECHTER has authorized, a let-
ter to the New York Times denying vari-
ous charges made by the author of the
article on Senator CHASE, in the New York
Times, in which he asserted that the
late Mr. CHASE was only second to Mr.<

POOR MISS FINCH.

A Domestic Story.

By WILKIN COLLINS.

The Moonstone; "Madame; " "Man and Wife," etc.

REPRINTED FROM HUNTER'S WEEKLY.

PART THE SECOND.

[Continued from page 35.]

CHAPTER THE THIRTY-FIFTH.

ANOTHER DARK STORY.

"So!" cried Gross, dropping her hand with a sudden outbreak of anger and impatience. "What's all this about poor French? Why these cold trembles? What's all this? Some of you tell me what does it mean?"

How was my opportunity! I tried my idea on him at once.

"In me," said I, "there are two people who are very angry with you. Your Mayrance, I am a lot to know."

Then came Lucifer, and he had his arms round her. Take her into her bedroom. Herr Gross, you only let the rest of us in when we were invited."

Our excellent surgeon instantly seized on my idea, and said:

"There are a place or two among women," he said, paternally patting me on the shoulder. "I have seen them, and I have known them, and your Mayrance is one of them."

"I am a lot to know," said Lucifer.

"I am a lot to know," said Gross.

"I am a lot to know," said Lucifer.

"I am a lot to know," said Lucifer.